

# Workplace Assessment 2019 PhD students, Department of Computer Science

#### Working group:

- PhD student Ida Larsen-Ledet (CMA)
- PhD student Simon Enni (DB)
- PhD student Martin Torp (PL)
- Sofia Rasmussen (Admin.)

### **Overall observations**

In general, the PhD students show a lower satisfaction on all parameters when compared to other groups at the department. This is disturbing, and the working group has used this observation as a basis for the work done. Through our work with the survey results, we have identified three main areas to work with; stress and general well-being, community, and relationship to manager.

## Stress and general well-being

From the survey it is clear that there is an imbalance between the time available and the time needed, which affects the work-life balance and stress level negatively. This must also be considered in relation to the many cases of stress-related sick leaves the department has at PhD level. PhD students have to balance their research, teaching, following courses, and going away for conferences/workshops/summer schools. Simultaneous, the culture at the department is competitive (the very successful are always highlighted, which can cause stress for others), which puts pressure on all to perform better and faster all the time.

"We get encouraged to publish fast and often rather than doing good research. There is no time for thoroughness."

The PhD students feel there is not time for thoroughness, as successful research is measured in publications rather than actual results. This causes many to lose the motivation for their work, which also shows in the survey (p. 24) with a lower score in relation to meaningful assignments. Another layer of stress is the feeling that it is not allowed to opt out of (with salary deduction) teaching, which could be a parameter to get more time and energy in a stressed period.

The department has previously tried to address this issue by establishing the <u>support group system</u> for PhD students. Each student gets a support group with two senior staff members who are not in their own research group. The support group meets twice a year, to talk about anything relevant – work-related and personal issues. The initiative is, in theory, very good and appreciated, but some of the support group members seem to not take their role seriously. This is indicated by not showing up for meetings, saying the meeting could be cut short, or only asking a few shallow questions. For the support groups to be successful a trusting relationship must be build.



Problem/initiative	Action	Responsible
Feels it is not allowed to	Make it more clear to the PhD students how	Sofia Rasmussen, Gudmund
turn down teaching	much they according to the rules and	Frandsen and Anders Møller
	regulations have to teach, and how they can	
	opt out of teaching.	
	2020: We are continuing to inform the	
	PhD students	
Support groups are not	Make sure the staff members take their role	Anders Møller & PhD
taken seriously	as support group serious, and also ask the	administrator
	tough questions. Possibly create a list of	
	questions for the support group to go	
	through. The list might be based on the	
	based on inputs from the folder "Forebyg	
	stress i fællesskab"	
	2020: We will follow up on the role and	
	figure out if a list of questions are needed.	
Not all want to be star	Tone down the competitive nature, and	Department-wise
researchers	allow it to be okay to do great research	(responsible TBA)
	without aiming to be a star researcher.	

# **Community**

The feeling of belonging to a larger community is important, but at the department each research group is its own tribe, and do not mix much with others. This is problematic, especially for those PhD students in the smaller groups, as it can cause the feeling of not being included and thus loneliness, which affects the general wellbeing and motivation.

"One time in the Friday bar, I heard people talking negatively about the work we do in my group. They simply forgot that I was there. This attitude creates negative mindset towards certain groups, which can spread through the department."

It is crucial for the department to break down barriers – and in some cases prejudices – between the research groups. However, it is important that the department not only focus on creating a stronger community for PhD students but that they are also included into the department as employees.

"I find it very frustrating to be perceived as a student but expected to deliver as an employee."

Below are suggestions to actions that could help break down barriers and create a greater community feeling among PhD students across the department.

Problem/initiative	Action	Responsible



Retreat for PhD	Continue to arrange the annual retreat but	Updated Obtober 2020/HGF PhD and Postdoc Committees
students and	make sure the program has time for social	<ul><li>Sofia Rasmussen &amp; Dorthe</li></ul>
Postdocs	activities. <b>Next retreat</b> is planned for	H. Nielsen
Tostudes	August 26.	11. IVICISCII
	2020: The retreat is cancelled due to Corona	
	- we will plan one as soon as possible. We	
	are looking in to online social events.	
Junior club	Continue to support the junior club so events	PhD students (currently Lau
Jumor Club	for PhD students can be created. <b>Next</b>	•
		Skorstengaard and Aïna L.
	event: PhD BBQ in July. In 2019, 10.000	Georges) arrange events. PhD
	DKK has been allocated for events. It is	administrator handles
	suggested this also will be done in 2020.	administrative tasks.
	2020: The students have stopped the events.	
	We will support it again, when the students	
	wish to start.	
Weekly PhD	After the summer holidays, a new initiative	PhD students Ida Larsen-Ledet
lunch/breakfast	for PhD students to meet across research	(CMA), Simon Enni (DB),
	groups will be started. PhD students will meet	Martin Torp (PL)
	informally for breakfast or lunch once a week.	
	2020: First in 2020 the students arranged	
	lunch, but it stopped due to Corona.	
PhD lunch with	In the fall 2019, the PhD committee will	PhD administrator arranges
presentation about	invite all PhD students (and Postdocs) to an	event and reaches out to
journal clubs for	inspirational lunch with presentations about	presenters. Ida Larsen-Ledet
inspiration	journal clubs in CMA/UBI and possibly	(possibly Frederik and
	Madalgo/DB.	Alexander) will give
		presentation.
Break down	At all levels, it must be stressed that each	TBA
prejudices	research group is important for the	
	department, and that we do not talk	
	negatively about each other.	
Offer more PhD	There is a wish for more PhD courses offered	PhD committee/Education
courses at the	at the department, due to two main reasons;	Committee??
department	1. Many feel the courses offered at	
	GSST is a waste of time	
	2. Transferable skill courses that are	
	targeted at CS like the Science	
	teaching course	
	teaching course	



		opuated obtober 2020/1101
	3. Relationships across research groups	
	will be established.	
	2020: It would be discussed, but we think it	
	is a possibility.	
Feels more like	To make sure, that PhD students (and	Department
students than	postdocs) feel included as employees, it is	management/Communications
employees	suggested that a short sum-up from faculty	
	meetings will be shared to make sure all at	
	the department are informed about goals,	
	strategies etc.	
	Also it is important to include PhD students	
	in department events such as Christmas party	
	etc.	
	2020: Unfortunately, we will not have any	
	events this year due to Corona.	
	We talked about having meetings for the	
	PhD students, but it hasn't been a success	
	earlier. The research leaders have a	
	responsibility to communicate Department	
	messages.	

## Relationship with manager

The PhD students found that some of the questions were difficult to answer. For instance, who is their manager (GSST, supervisor, research group leader, head of department)? This might have affected how people have answered the questions. For this report, we have decided that manager is the PhD students' supervisor.

Overall, the PhD students have a good relationship with their manager, but there are areas that can be improved. Many feel they are not acknowledged (p. 24) or get enough feedback for their work (p.25), they need more clear goals and the Staff Development Dialogue (SSD) is not perceived as meaningful (p.25).

"Especially in the beginning of my PhD, I was both confused and worried if I was on the right path. I really just want my supervisor to tell me if I am on track to get my PhD completed on time."

Problem/initiative	Action	Responsible
Unsure if I live up to	Encourage supervisors to continuously match	Department management /
my managers	expectations with their PhD students to break	PhD committee
expectations	down insecurities. Feedback on both the actual	
	work and the work effort is wanted.	



		opulica obtober 2020/1101
Lack of	Encourage supervisor to acknowledge work	Department management /
acknowledgement	even if it does not lead to publications. In a	PhD committee
that leads to	very competitive environment the lack of	
insecurity	acknowledge or feedback can lead to insecurity	
	and loss of motivation.	
Many do not use the	It is suggested that the SSD is made mandatory	PhD committee/Department
offer of a SSD	in 2019, as many PhD students did not have a	management
	SSD because they (or supervisor?) do not see	
	the difference between SSD and regular	
	supervisor meetings. The supervisors must be	
	informed about how to make the SSD	
	meaningful.	

2020: We plan for a presentation and reminder of the role as a PhD supervisor in January 2021.